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#### Abstract

It is an open problem whether the entropy numbers $e_{n}(T)$ of continuous linear operators $T: X \rightarrow Y$ are essentially self-dual, i.e., $e_{n}(T) \sim e_{n}\left(T^{*}\right)$. We give a positive result in the case that $X$ and $Y^{*}$ are of type 2, using volume estimates. This generalizes a result of Carl (On Gelfand, Kolmogorov, and entropy numbers of operators acting between special Banach spaces, University of Jena, Jena, East Germany, 1983, preprint). Moreover, we derive bounds for the approximation numbers $a_{n}(T)$ of $T$ by probabilistic averaging. The formulas are applied to determine the exact asymptotic order of the approximation numbers of the formal identity map between various sequence spaces as well as tensor product spaces. In the special case of $I_{p}^{n}$, the result was first proved by Gluskin (Mat. Sb. 120 (1983), 180-189. [Russian]) using a different method. ( 1987 Academic Press, Inc.


## 0. Introduction

We recall some basic definitions and notions. If $X$ is a Banach space, we denote its unit ball by $B_{X}$. The $n$-dimensional $l_{p}$-spaces will be denoted by $l_{p}^{n}, l \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, the conjugate index by $p^{\prime}=p /(p-1)$. All operators $T: X \rightarrow Y$ will be continuous linear maps between real or complex Banach spaces. Given such $T: X \rightarrow Y$, we let

$$
a_{n}(T):=\inf \left\{\left\|T-T_{n}\right\| \mid T_{n}: X \rightarrow Y, \operatorname{rank} T_{n}<n\right\}
$$

[^0]stand for the approximation numbers,
$$
c_{n}(T):=\inf \left\{\left\|\left.T\right|_{X_{n}}\right\| X_{n} \subseteq X \text { of } \operatorname{codim} X_{n}<n\right\}
$$
for the Gelfand numbers,
$$
d_{n}(T):=\inf _{\substack{L \leq \subseteq \subset^{Y} \\ \operatorname{dim}_{L}<n}} \sup _{\|x\|=1} \inf _{y \in L}\|T x-y\|
$$
for the Kolmogorov numbers, and
$$
e_{n}(T):=\inf \left\{\sigma>0 \mid T\left(B_{x}\right) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}}\left(\left\{y_{i}\right\}+\sigma B_{Y}\right) \quad \text { for some } y_{1}, \ldots, y_{2^{n-1}} \in F\right\}
$$
for the entropy numbers of $T, n \in N$. Any of these sequences $s_{n} \in\left\{a_{n}, c_{n}, d_{n}\right.$, $\left.e_{n}\right\}$ satisfies the relations
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|T\|=s_{1}(T) \geqslant s_{2}(T) \geqslant \cdots \geqslant 0, \\
s_{n+m-1}(S+T) \leqslant s_{n}(S)+s_{m}(T) ; S, T: X \rightarrow Y, \\
s_{n+m} \quad(S T) \leqslant s_{n}(S) s_{m}(T) ; S: Y \rightarrow Z, T: X \rightarrow Y .
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

Moreover,

$$
a_{n}(T) \geqslant c_{n}(T), \quad a_{n}(T) \geqslant d_{n}(T),
$$

with equality for Hilbert space operators $T$, in which case we denote these singular numbers by $s_{n}(T)$. For $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, let $c_{p}^{n}$ denote the space of operators $L\left(l_{2}^{n}, l_{2}^{n}\right)$ normed by

$$
\|T\|_{l_{p}^{n}}:=\left(\sum_{j \in N} s_{j}(T)^{p}\right)^{1 / n}
$$

In particular, $\|\cdot\|_{c_{1}^{n}}$ is the nuclear and $\|\cdot\|_{c_{x}^{n}}$ the operator norm. All these facts about $s$-numbers can be found in Pietsch [13]. If $i: Y \rightarrow Z$ is an injection, $q: W \rightarrow X$ a surjection, and $T: X \rightarrow Y$ continuous linear, the entropy numbers satisfy

$$
e_{n}(T q)=e_{n}(T), \quad \frac{1}{2} e_{n}(T) \leqslant e_{n}(i T) \leqslant e_{n}(T)
$$

they are surjective and (up to a factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ ), injective.
Given sequences $\left(s_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left(t_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, we write

$$
s_{n} \sim t_{n}
$$

if there are constants $c, d>0$ with

$$
c s_{n} \leqslant t_{n} \leqslant d s_{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Generally vol $_{n}$ will denote the $n$-dimensional Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. By $r_{n}(t)$ we shall denote the $n$th Rademacher function and by $\left(g_{n}(\omega)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of independent standard Gaussian variables on some probability space, i.e., each $g_{n}$ has density function

$$
(2 \pi)^{-1 / 2} e^{-t^{2} / 2} .
$$

A Banach space is of (Gaussian) type 2 provided that there is $c>0$ such that for every finite sequence $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n} \subseteq X$

$$
\left(\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i} x_{i}\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant c\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2},
$$

where $\mathbb{E}$ denotes the expectation. The best type 2 constant $c$ will be denoted by $T_{2}(X)$. The space is of (Rademacher) type 2 if the same holds for Rademacher functions $r_{i}$ instead of Gaussian functions $g_{i}$. Both notions coincide in type 2 spaces, since by Maurey and Pisier [12] there is an increasing function $f: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{2 / \pi}\left(\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} x_{i}\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} & \leqslant\left(\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i} x_{i}\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqslant f\left(T_{2}(X)\right)\left(\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} x_{i}\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $R_{n}$ be the natural projection of $L_{2}((0,1) ; X)$ onto $\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{g_{i}(t) x_{i} \mid\right.$ $\left.i=1, \ldots, n, x_{i} \in X\right\} . X$ is called $K$-convex if $\gamma(X):=\sup _{n}\left\|R_{n}\right\|<\infty$. Any space $X$ of type 2 is $K$-convex, and every quotient space of $X, X / Y$ for $Y \subseteq X$, is again of type 2 with a uniform estimate

$$
T_{2}(X / Y) \leqslant T_{2}(X) \gamma(X) \leqslant g\left(T_{2}(X)\right)
$$

for some increasing function $g: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$. A detailed account on the $K$-convexity property may be found in Pisier [17]. For the notion of 2 -convex lattices and 1 -unconditional as well as 1 -symmetric bases we refer to Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [10].
If $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ is a 1 -unconditional basis of $X$, we let $\lambda_{x}(n):=\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right\| .\left\{x_{i}^{*}\right\}$ will denote the biorthogonal sequence in the dual space $X^{*}$. For the notions of $p$-summing operators ( $\Pi_{p}, \pi_{p}$ ) for $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$ and Hilbertfactorizable operators ( $\Gamma_{2}, \gamma_{2}$ ) cf. [10 or 13].

The unit ball of a Banach space $X$ is denoted by $B_{X}=\{x:\|x\| \leqslant 1\}$. If $\operatorname{dim}(X)=n$, then $B_{X^{*}}$ will be identified with $\left\{y \in R^{n}: \mid(x, y) \leqslant 1\right.$ for all $\left.x \in B_{X}\right\}$.

## 1. Entropy Numbers

It is an open problem whether the entropy numbers are essentially selfdual, i.e., whether asymptotically

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n}(T) \sim e_{n}\left(T^{*}\right), \quad T \in L(X, Y) \tag{1.0}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all continuous linear operators $T$. Direct calculations have shown (1.0) to be true for certain diagonal operators in sequence spaces. Carl [1] obtained a positive result for operators $T$ between certain Banach spaces if $e_{n}(T) \sim n^{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha>0$. We generalize his result in

Therrem 1.1. Let $X$ and $Y$ be Banach spaces such that $X$ and $Y^{*}$ are of type 2. Then for any $T \in L(X, Y)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{-1} e_{[n c]}(T) \leqslant e_{n}\left(T^{*}\right) \leqslant d e_{[n / c]}(T) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=\ln \left(18 T_{2}(X) T_{2}\left(Y^{*}\right) \gamma(X) \gamma\left(Y^{*}\right)\right)$ and $d=A\left(T_{2}(X) T_{2}\left(Y^{*}\right)\right)^{2}$ only depend on $X$ and $Y, A$ being an absolute constant.

We need some lemmas. Volume estimates will yield a duality statement for $e_{n}(T)$ for rank $n$ operators $T$. An approximation argument together with estimates of approximation numbers by entropy numbers will then settle the general case for arbitrary $T \in L(X, Y)$.

Lemma 1.2. Let $X$ be a real $n$-dimensional space, $B_{2}$ be the ellipsoid of maximal volume contained in $B_{X^{*}}$. Then

$$
\left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}_{n} B_{X^{*}}}{\operatorname{vol}_{n} B_{2}}\right)^{1 / n} \leqslant\left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}_{n} B_{2}}{\operatorname{vol}_{n} B_{X}}\right)^{1 / n} \leqslant T_{2}(X)
$$

This lemma follows basically from the argument in the proof of Proposition 2.1 of Gordon and Reisner [6]. The left volume ratio was also estimated by $T_{2}(X)$ by Tomczak and Jaegermann, cf. Rogalski [14]. For the sake of completeness we indicate how the proof in [6] is modified. It was recently proved by Bourgain and Milman that $\left(\operatorname{vol}_{n} B_{X} \operatorname{vol}_{n} B_{X^{*}}\right)^{1 / n} \sim$ $\left(\operatorname{vol}_{n} B_{2}\right)^{2 / n}$ (cf. [16]).

Proof. Let $E:=X^{*}$ and $B_{2}$ be the ellipsoid of maximal volume in $B_{E}$ which defines the Hilbert space $l_{2}^{n}$. We denote the formal identity map by $v$ : $l_{2}^{n} \rightarrow E$ (John map). Hence $\|v\| \leqslant 1,\left\|v^{-1}\right\| \leqslant \sqrt{n}$. By Santalo's inequality [15]

$$
\left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}_{n} B_{E}}{\operatorname{vol}_{n} B_{2}}\right)^{1 / n} \leqslant\left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}_{n} B_{2}}{\operatorname{vol}_{n} B_{E^{*}}}\right)^{1 / n}
$$

$B_{2}$ is also the ellipsoid of minimal volume containing $B_{E^{*}}=B_{X}$, and $v^{*-1}: l_{2}^{n} \rightarrow E^{*}=X$ the John map for $X$. The proof of Proposition 2.1 of [ $6,(2.9)]$ yields, using this fact about $v^{*-1}$,

$$
\left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}_{n} B_{2}}{\operatorname{vol}_{n} B_{x}}\right)^{1 / n} \leqslant n^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{1}^{n} g_{i} v^{*-1}\left(e_{i}^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2},
$$

which is estimated by $T_{2}(X)$ as in [6].

Lemma 1.3. Let $X$ and $Y$ be Banach spaces with $X, Y^{*}$ being of type 2. Then for all operators $T \in L(X, Y)$ of rank $T \leqslant n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{[<n]}(T) \leqslant 4 e_{n}\left(T^{*}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=\log _{2}\left(18 T_{2}(X) T_{2}\left(Y^{*}\right) \gamma(X) \gamma\left(Y^{*}\right)\right)$.
Proof. We will assume that $X$ and $Y$ are real Banach spaces. In the complex case, $n$-dimensional volume estimates have to be replaced by ( $2 n$ )-dimensional real volume arguments.
(i) We first assume that $X$ and $Y$ are $n$-dimensional. Let $H$ and $K$ denote the $n$-dimensional Hilbert spaces the unit balls of which are the maximal volume ellipsoids contained in $B_{X^{*}}$ and $B_{Y}$. Then $B_{H}$ is also the minimal volume ellipsoid containing $B_{X}$. Let $i: X \rightarrow H, j: K \rightarrow Y$ denote the formal identity maps. Thus $\|i\|=\|j\|=1$. The polar decomposition theorem shows that the entropy numbers of any $S: H \rightarrow K$ are the same as those of the diagonal operator in $l_{2}^{n}$ induced by the singular numbers of $S$. The latter being self-dual, we have $e_{n}(S)=e_{n}\left(S^{*}\right)$. Considering $T: X \rightarrow Y$ as a map between Hilbert spaces, we find with $S=j^{-1} T i^{-1}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& a:=\log _{2}\left(3 T_{2}(X)\right), \quad b:=\log _{2}\left(3 T_{2}\left(Y^{*}\right)\right), \quad m:=[a n]+[b n]+n+2 \\
& e_{m}(T: X \rightarrow Y) \leqslant e_{m}\left(j^{-1} T i^{-1}: H \rightarrow K\right) \\
&= e_{m}\left(i^{-1^{*}} T^{*} j^{-1^{*}}: K \rightarrow H\right) \\
& \leqslant e_{[a n]+2}\left(i^{-1^{*}}: X^{*} \rightarrow H\right) \\
& \times e_{[b n]+2}\left(j^{-1^{*}}: K \rightarrow Y^{*}\right) e_{n}\left(T^{*}: Y^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Choose in $B_{K}$ a maximal system $M=\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{L}$ of points such that

$$
\left\|z_{i}-z_{j}\right\|_{Y^{*}}>1 \quad \text { for all } 1 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant L .
$$

Then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{L}\left(\left\{z_{i}\right\}+B_{Y^{*}}\right)$ is a covering of $B_{K}$ since otherwise a point might
be added to $M$. On the other hand, the sets $\left(\left\{z_{i}\right\}+\frac{1}{2} B_{Y^{*}}\right)$ are disjoint for different $i$ and contained in $B_{K}+\frac{1}{2} B_{Y^{*}} \subseteq \frac{3}{2} B_{K}$. Thus, comparing volumes,

$$
\begin{gathered}
L \operatorname{vol}_{n}\left(\frac{1}{2} B_{Y^{*}}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{vol}_{n}\left(\frac{3}{2} B_{K}\right), \\
L^{1 / n} \leqslant 3\left(\operatorname{vol}_{n} B_{K} / \operatorname{vol}_{n} B_{Y^{*}}\right)^{1 / n} \leqslant 3 T_{2}\left(Y^{*}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

using Lemma 1.2. Hence $L \leqslant 2^{k-1}$ for $k=[b n]+2$. Thus $e_{[b n]+2}\left(j^{*}{ }^{1}\right)$ $\leqslant 1$. Similarly, $e_{[a n]+2}\left(i^{*-1}\right) \leqslant 1$. This yields $e_{[c n]}(T) \leqslant e_{n}\left(T^{*}\right)$ by (1.3) with $c=\log _{2}\left(18 T_{2}(X) T_{2}\left(Y^{*}\right)\right)$, since $m \leqslant[c n]$ for $n \geqslant 2$.
(ii) We now drop the assumption that $X$ and $Y$ are $n$-dimensional and consider Banach spaces $X$ and $Y$ with $X, Y^{*}$ of type 2 and $T \in L(X, Y)$ has $\operatorname{rank}(T) \leqslant n$. Consider the canonical factorization of $T$

$$
T: X \xrightarrow{\pi} X / \operatorname{Ker} T \xrightarrow{\bar{T}} \operatorname{Im}(T) \xrightarrow{j} Y
$$

$\bar{T}$ induced by $T, \pi$ projection, and $j$ injection map. Since the entropy numbers are projective and injective up to a constant of 2 , and $\bar{T}$ acts between $\leqslant n$-dimensional spaces, we find using (i)

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{[c n]}(T) & =e_{[c n]}(j \bar{T} \pi) \leqslant 2 e_{[c n]}(\bar{T}) \\
& \leqslant 2 e_{n}\left(\bar{T}^{*}\right) \leqslant 4 e_{n}\left(\pi^{*} \bar{T}^{*} j^{*}\right)=4 e_{n}\left(T^{*}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c=\log _{2}\left(18 T_{2}(X / \operatorname{Ker} T) T_{2}\left((\operatorname{Im} T)^{*}\right)\right)$. Both spaces $X / \operatorname{Ker} T$ as well as $(\operatorname{Im} T)^{*}$ are quotient spaces of type 2 and thus

$$
T_{2}(X / \operatorname{Ker} T) \leqslant T_{2}(X) \gamma(X), \quad T_{2}\left((\operatorname{Im} T)^{*}\right) \leqslant T_{2}\left(Y^{*}\right) \gamma\left(Y^{*}\right)
$$

Remark. Without type 2 assumptions the following can be shown: There is some absolute constant $a$ such that for all operators $T \in L(X, Y)$ of $\operatorname{rank}(T) \leqslant n$,

$$
e_{a n \ln (n+1)}(T) \leqslant 4 e_{n}\left(T^{*}\right)
$$

In the case of $\operatorname{dim} X=\operatorname{dim} Y=n$, one uses the Lewis maps $i: X \rightarrow I_{2}^{n}$, $j: l_{2}^{n} \rightarrow Y$ with $l\left(i^{-1}\right) l^{*}(i)=n=l(j) l^{*}\left(j^{-1}\right)$, cf. Lewis [9], to construct a Hilbert space factorization of $T$. The analog of Lemma 1.2 for $i, j$ can be proved along the lines of Gordon and Reisner [6]. Estimates of $L^{1 / n}$ similar to those in (i) now involve $\|T\|,\left\|T^{-1}\right\|$, which can be bounded by $n$, yielding the logarithmic factor.

Lemma 1.4. Let $X$ and $Y$ be Banach spaces such that $X$ and $Y^{*}$ are of type 2. Then for any $T \in L(X, Y)$ and $b:=T_{2}(X) T_{2}\left(Y^{*}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}(T) \leqslant a_{n}(T) \leqslant b c_{n}(T), \quad d_{n}(T) \leqslant a_{n}(T) \leqslant b d_{n}(T), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and choose a subspace $X_{n} \subset X$ of codimension $<n$ with

$$
\left\|\left.T\right|_{x_{n}}\right\| \leqslant(1+\varepsilon) c_{n}(T)
$$

By Maurey's extension theorem [11] $\left.T\right|_{X_{n}}$ has an extension to $X, S: X \rightarrow Y$ with $\|S\| \leqslant b\left\|\left.T\right|_{x_{n}}\right\|,\left.S\right|_{x_{n}}=\left.T\right|_{X_{n}}$. Therefore, $T-S$ has rank $<n$ and

$$
a_{n}(T) \leqslant\|T-(T-S)\|=\|S\| \leqslant(1+\varepsilon) b c_{n}(T)
$$

The statement for the Kolmogorov numbers follows by duality.
The following proposition is due to Carl [1].
Proposition 1.5. There is some absolute constant $a>0$ such that for all Banach spaces $X$ and $Y$ with $X, Y^{*}$ being of type 2 , all $T \in L(X, Y)$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} c_{j}(T)\right)^{1 / n} \leqslant a T_{2}(X) T_{2}\left(Y^{*}\right) e_{n}(T)
$$

Corollary 1.6. Let $X, Y$ be Banach spaces with $X$ and $Y^{*}$ of type 2. Then we have for all $T \in L(X, Y)$

$$
a_{n}(T) \leqslant d e_{n}(T)
$$

where $d:=a\left(T_{2}(X) T_{2}\left(Y^{*}\right)\right)^{2}$, a from Proposition 1.5.
Proof. The result follows immediately from Lemma 1.4 and Proposition 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We reduce (1.1) to rank $n$ operators by approximation. Let $c$ be as in Lemma 1.3 and $T \in L(X, Y)$. For $\varepsilon>0$, choose $T_{n} \in L(X, Y)$ of rank $\left(T_{n}\right)<n$ with $\left\|T-T_{n}\right\| \leqslant(1+\varepsilon) a_{n}(T)$. Then

$$
e_{[c n]}(T) \leqslant\left\|T-T_{n}\right\|+e_{[c n]}\left(T_{n}\right) \leqslant(1+\varepsilon) a_{n}(T)+e_{[c n]}\left(T_{n}\right) .
$$

By Lemma 1.3, $e_{[c n]}\left(T_{n}\right) \leqslant 4 e_{n}\left(T_{n}^{*}\right)$. The principle of local reflexivity yields $a_{n}(T)=a_{n}\left(T^{*}\right)$ for compact operators $T$ (cf. Pietsch [13, 11.7.4]). For noncompact $T \in L(X, Y)$ at least $a_{n}(T) \leqslant 3 a_{n}\left(T^{*}\right)$ can be shown. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{[c n]}(T) & \leqslant 3(1+\varepsilon) a_{n}\left(T^{*}\right)+4 e_{n}\left(T_{n}^{*}\right) \\
& \leqslant 3(1+\varepsilon) a_{n}\left(T^{*}\right)+4\left\|T^{*}-T_{n}^{*}\right\|+4 e_{n}\left(T^{*}\right) \\
& \leqslant 15(1+\varepsilon) a_{n}\left(T^{*}\right)+4 e_{n}\left(T^{*}\right) \\
& \leqslant\left(15(1+\varepsilon)\left(a\left(T_{2}(X) T_{2}\left(Y^{*}\right)\right)^{2}+4\right) e_{n}\left(T^{*}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from Corollary 1.6. The right side inequality in (1.1) follows by duality.

Remark. By a modification of the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 1.3 one can show: For any $\varepsilon>0$ there is $n_{0}(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geqslant n_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{1} e_{\mid(1+n n]}(T) \leqslant e_{n}\left(T^{*}\right) \leqslant d e_{[(1 \quad n n]}(T) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$d$ being as in Theorem 1.1. Let $0<\varepsilon<1$. To prove the statement, one has to change $m$ in (1.3) to $m=[a n]+[b n]+[\alpha n]+2$ for a suitable $x>3(a+b) / \varepsilon$, yielding

$$
e_{m}(T) \leqslant 4 e_{[x n]}\left(T^{*}\right)
$$

for rank $n$ operators $T$. Since $(a+b+\alpha)(n+1) / \alpha n$ is less than $(1+\varepsilon)$ for $n$ larger than $3 / \varepsilon$, standard arguments using the monotonicity of the entropy numbers will imply (1.5) for rank $n$ operators. The approximation argument above remains unchanged using (1.5) instead of (1.2).

In the proof of Lemma 1.3 we used the entropy numbers of diagonal operators on Hilbert space. Pietsch [13] showed in 12.2.5. that an operator $D_{\sigma}: l_{2} \rightarrow l_{2},\left(x_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{x} \mapsto\left(\sigma_{n} x_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{x}$ has $p$ th power summable entropy numbers iff $\sigma \in l_{p}$, without calculating $e_{n}\left(D_{\sigma}\right)$ explicitly. We now give an asymptotic formula for $e_{n}\left(D_{\sigma}\right)$ in sequence spaces.

Proposition 1.7. Let $X$ be a real Banach space with a 1-unconditional basis $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{x_{1}}$. Let $\sigma_{1} \geqslant \sigma_{2} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant 0$ be decreasing and $D_{\sigma}: X \rightarrow X$ the diagonal operator induced by $x_{i} \mapsto \sigma_{i} x_{i}$. Then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-k / n}\left(\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{n}\right)^{1 / n} \leqslant e_{k+1}\left(D_{\sigma}\right) \leqslant 6 \sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-k / n}\left(\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{n}\right)^{1 / n}
$$

Proof. For the left inequality, choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $X_{n}=\operatorname{span}\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \subset X$ and $D_{\sigma}^{n}:=D_{\sigma \mid X_{n}}: X_{n} \rightarrow X_{n}$. Then $e_{k+1}\left(D_{\sigma}\right) \geqslant e_{k+1}\left(D_{\sigma}^{n}\right)$ and with $B_{n}:=B_{X_{n}}$ any covering $D_{\sigma}^{n}\left(B_{n}\right) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{2^{k}}\left(\left\{\tilde{x}_{j}\right\}+\delta B_{n}\right)$ with $\delta>0$ yields the volume estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{n}\right) \operatorname{vol}_{n}\left(B_{n}\right) & =\operatorname{vol}_{n}\left(D_{\sigma}^{n}\left(B_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \leqslant 2^{k} \delta^{n} \operatorname{vol}_{n}\left(B_{n}\right) \\
2^{-k / n}\left(\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{n}\right)^{1 / n} & \leqslant \delta
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
e_{k+1}\left(D_{\sigma}\right) \geqslant e_{k+1}\left(D_{\sigma}^{n}\right) \geqslant 2^{-k / n}\left(\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{n}\right)^{1 / n}
$$

To prove the right-hand side inequality put $\delta:=8 \sup _{t \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-k / l}\left(\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{l}\right)^{1 / h}$ and, for a given $\varepsilon>0$, choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$
\delta \leqslant(8+\varepsilon) 2^{-k / n}\left(\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{n}\right)^{1 / n}
$$

If all $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}$ are $\geqslant \delta / 4$, let $r:=n$. Otherwise, determine $r \in \mathbb{N}$ by $\sigma_{r+1}<\delta / 4 \leqslant \sigma_{r}$. Using similar notation as above, choose a maximal system of points $\left\{\tilde{x}_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{L}$ in $D_{\sigma}^{r}\left(B_{r}\right)$ with

$$
\left\|\tilde{x}_{i}-\tilde{x}_{j}\right\|_{X_{r}}>\delta / 2, \quad 1 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant L
$$

Then $D_{\sigma}^{r}\left(B_{r}\right) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{L}\left(\left\{\tilde{x}_{j}\right\}+\delta / 2 B_{r}\right)$ in view of the maximality of the system $\left\{\tilde{x}_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{L}$. Letting $B=B_{X}$, any element in $D_{\sigma}(B)$ can be written as $D_{\sigma} x=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{i} \alpha_{i} x_{i}$ for $x=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i} x_{i} \in B$. Since $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is 1 -unconditional, we find

$$
D_{\sigma} x=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_{i} \alpha_{i} x_{i}+\sum_{i=r+1}^{\infty} \sigma_{i} \alpha_{i} x_{i} \in D_{\sigma}^{r}\left(B_{r}\right)+\delta / 4 B .
$$

Thus, $D_{\sigma}(B) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{L}\left(\left\{\tilde{x}_{j}\right\}+\frac{3}{4} \delta B\right)$. Hence, $e_{\left[\log _{2} L\right]+2}\left(D_{\sigma}\right) \leqslant \frac{3}{4} \delta$.
To estimate $L$, note that

$$
\bigcup_{j=1}^{L}\left(\left\{\tilde{x}_{j}\right\}+\delta / 4 B_{r}\right) \subseteq D_{\sigma}^{r}\left(B_{r}\right)+\delta / 4 B_{r} \subseteq 2 D_{\sigma}^{r}\left(B_{r}\right)
$$

is a disjoint union sitting in $2 D_{\sigma}^{r}\left(B_{r}\right)$. Hence,

$$
L(\delta / 4)^{r} \operatorname{vol}_{r}\left(B_{r}\right) \leqslant 2^{r}\left(\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{r}\right) \operatorname{vol}_{r}\left(B_{r}\right) .
$$

By choice of $n \in \mathbb{N},\left(\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{r}\right) \leqslant 2^{k}(\delta / 8)^{r}$. Therefore,

$$
L \leqslant(8 / \delta)^{r}\left(\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{r}\right) \leqslant 2^{k}
$$

implying $e_{k+1}\left(D_{\sigma}\right) \leqslant \frac{3}{4} \delta$. Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ends the proof.

Corollary 1.8. Let $X$ be a real Banach space with 1 -unconditional basis $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$. Let $\sigma_{1} \geqslant \sigma_{2} \geqslant \cdots>0$ and $D_{\sigma}: X \rightarrow X$ the operator given by $x_{i} \mapsto \sigma_{i} x_{i}$. Then

$$
\left(e_{k}\left(D_{\sigma}\right)\right)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in l_{p} \Leftrightarrow \sigma \in l_{p} .
$$

Proof. Obviously, $e_{k+1}\left(D_{\sigma}\right) \geqslant \sigma_{k} / 2$ yields the implication " $\Rightarrow$." Assume now $\sigma \in l_{p}$. Let $0<q<p$ and $\mu \in l_{q}$ be positive decreasing. Then $\mu_{n} \leqslant\|\mu\|_{4} n^{-1 / 4}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Proposition 1.7 gives

$$
e_{k}\left(D_{\mu}\right) \leqslant c_{q}\|\mu\|_{q} k^{-1 / q}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},
$$

with $c_{q}$ depending only on $q$. Apply this to the sequence $\mu=\left(\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{k}, 0, \ldots\right)$ to get $e_{k}\left(D_{\sigma}^{k}\right) \leqslant c_{\psi}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_{j}^{q} / k\right)^{1 / \psi}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{k}\left(D_{\sigma}\right) \leqslant\left\|D_{\sigma}-D_{\sigma}^{k}\right\|+e_{k}\left(D_{\sigma}^{k}\right) \leqslant \sigma_{k+1}+e_{k}\left(D_{\sigma}^{k}\right) \\
& \leqslant\left(2+c_{q}\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_{j}^{\psi} / k\right)^{1 / \psi} \\
&\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_{k}\left(D_{\sigma}\right)^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant\left(2+c_{\psi}\right)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_{j}^{\mu}}{k}\right)^{p / q}\right)^{1 p} \leqslant d_{p, 4}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k}^{p}\right)^{1 p}<x_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last estimate is Hardy's inequality, of. [7].
Remark. Similar statements hold in the case of complex Banach spaces if the exponent $k / n$ in $2^{k k / n}$ is changed to $k /(2 n)$; one has to use the ( $2 n$ )-dimensional volume in $\mathbb{C}^{n}=\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$.

## 2. Approximation, Gelfand and Kolmogorov Numbers

In this section we derive some general estimates for $s$-numbers using probabilistic methods. $\left\{g_{i, j}\right\}_{i, j=1}^{n},\left\{h_{i, j}\right\}_{i, j=1}^{n}$, and $\left\{g_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ will denote standard independent Gaussian variables. For $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \subset X$ we let

$$
\varepsilon_{2}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}\right):=\max _{\|t\|_{2}=1}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} x_{i}\right\| .
$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $T \in L(X, Y)$ with $T=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{*} \otimes y_{i}, \quad\left\{x_{i}^{*}\right\} \subset X^{*}$, $\left\{y_{i}\right\} \subset Y$. Then for $k<n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{k+1}(T) \leqslant \frac{4}{\sqrt{k}}\left\{\varepsilon_{2}\left(\left\{x_{i}^{*}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}\right) \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i} y_{i}\right\|\right. \\
& \quad+\varepsilon_{2}\left(\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}\right) \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i} x_{i}^{*}\right\| \\
& \left.\quad+k^{-1 / 2} \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i} x_{i}^{*}\right\| \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i} y_{i}\right\|\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the proof we need the following inequality of Chevet [2]:
$\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} g_{i, j} x_{i}^{*} \otimes y_{j}\right\| \leqslant \varepsilon_{2}\left(\left\{x_{i}^{*}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}\right) \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i} y_{i}\right\|+\varepsilon_{2}\left(\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}\right) E\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i} x_{i}^{*}\right\|$
(Chevet's proof included a multiplicative constant $\sqrt{2}$ on the right-hand side which was improved to 1 in [5]).

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ are independent. Therefore, there is a biorthogonal sequence $\left\{y_{1}^{*}\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \subset Y^{*}$. Let $G(\omega): X \rightarrow Y, P: Y \rightarrow Y$, and $G^{*}(\omega): Y \rightarrow Y$ be defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(\omega) & =k^{-1 / 2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} g_{i, j}(\omega) x_{i}^{*} \otimes y_{j} \\
P & =\sum_{j=1}^{k} y_{j}^{*} \otimes y_{j} \\
G^{*}(\omega) & =k^{-1 / 2} \sum_{l, j=1}^{n} g_{l, j}(\omega) y_{j}^{*} \otimes y_{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

and let $H$ and $H^{*}$ be defined as $G$ and $G^{*}$, respectively, with variables $h_{i, j}$ on a different probability space. Obviously $\operatorname{rank}\left(G^{*} P G\right) \leqslant k$, and easy calculation shows that $T=\mathbb{E}\left(H^{*} P H\right)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{k+1}(T) \leqslant & \mathbb{E}_{\omega}\left\|T-G^{*}(\omega) P G(\omega)\right\| \\
= & \mathbb{E}_{\omega}\left\|\mathbb{E}_{\omega^{\prime}}\left(H^{*}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right) P H\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)\right)-G^{*}(\omega) P G(\omega)\right\| \\
\leqslant & \mathbb{E}_{\omega} \mathbb{E}_{\omega \omega^{\prime}}\left\|H^{*}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right) P H\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)-G^{*}(\omega) P G(\omega)\right\| \\
= & \mathbb{E}_{\omega} \mathbb{E}_{\omega \omega^{\prime}} \| 2\left(\frac{H^{*}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)+G^{*}(\omega)}{\sqrt{2}}\right) P\left(\frac{H\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)-G(\omega)}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \\
& -G^{*}(\omega) P H\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)+H^{*}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right) P G(\omega) \| .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(H^{*}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)+G^{*}(\omega)\right) / \sqrt{2}$ and $\left(H\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)-G(\omega)\right) / \sqrt{2}$ have the same distribution as $H^{*}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)$ and $G(\omega)$, respectively. Moreover, they are independent, hence we obtain

$$
a_{k+1}(T) \leqslant 4 \mathbb{E}_{\omega^{\prime}} \mathbb{E}_{\omega}\left\|H^{*}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right) P G(\omega)\right\|
$$

Fix $\omega^{\prime}$ and let $H^{*}=H^{*}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)$. Then by (2.1) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}_{\omega}\left\|H^{*} P G(\omega)\right\|= & \mathbb{E}_{\omega}\left\|k^{-1 / 2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{i, j}(\omega) x_{i}^{*} \otimes H^{*}\left(y_{j}\right)\right\| \\
\leqslant & k^{-1 / 2}\left\{\varepsilon_{2}\left(\left\{x_{i}^{*}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}\right) \mathbb{E}_{\omega}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{i}(\omega) H^{*}\left(y_{j}\right)\right\|\right. \\
& \left.+\varepsilon_{2}\left(\left\{H^{*}\left(y_{j}\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{k}\right) \mathbb{E}_{\omega}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}(\omega) x_{i}^{*}\right\|\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we integrate over $\omega^{\prime} . \varepsilon_{2}\left(\left\{H^{*}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right) y_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{k}\right)$ is the norm of the operator

$$
k^{-1 / 2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} h_{i, j}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right) e_{j} \otimes y_{i}
$$

mapping $l_{2}^{k}$ to $Y$, hence by inequality (2.1) it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}_{\left(o^{\prime},\right.} \varepsilon_{2}\left(\left\{H^{*}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right) y_{j}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}\right) \\
& \leqslant k^{1 / 2}\left\{\varepsilon_{2}\left(\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{j=1}^{k}\right) \mathbb{E}_{\left(0^{\prime}\right.}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right) y_{i}\right\|\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\varepsilon_{2}\left(\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}\right) \mathbb{E}_{\left(j^{\prime}\right.}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{i}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right) e_{j}\right\|\right\} \\
& \leqslant \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{k} t_{j} g_{i, j}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ is equivalent to $\left\{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left|t_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} g_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{(, 3} \mathbb{E}_{\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{j}(\omega) H^{*}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)\left(y_{j}\right)\right\| & =k^{-1 / 2} \mathbb{E}_{(, 3} \mathbb{E}_{\left(\omega^{\prime}\right.}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{j}(\omega) g_{i, j}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right) y_{i}\right\| \\
& =k^{-1 / 2} \mathbb{E}_{(, 3}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left|g_{j}(\omega)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} E_{\omega^{\prime}}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right) y_{i}\right\| \\
& \leqslant E_{\left(\omega^{\prime}\right.}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right) y_{i}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.1 now follows from this, (2.2), and (2.3).
Proposition 2.2. Let $T \in L(X, Y),\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ be $a$ basis of $X$ and $y_{i}=T\left(x_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Then we have for $k<n$

$$
c_{k+1}(T) \leqslant \frac{\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i} y_{i}\right\|+\sqrt{n-k} \varepsilon_{2}\left(\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i} x_{i}\right\|-\sqrt{n-k} \varepsilon_{2}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}\right)} .
$$

Proof. We shall need the following inequality due to Gordon [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j} x_{j}\right\|-\sqrt{k} \varepsilon_{2}\left(\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}\right) \leqslant \mathbb{E}\left(\min _{\|t\|_{2}=1}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{i, j}(\omega) t_{i} y_{j}\right\|\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
L_{\omega}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{i, j}(\omega) x_{j}\right\}_{i=1}^{n-k}
$$

then $\operatorname{dim}\left(L_{\omega}\right)=n-k$ except on a set of measure 0 . Therefore we have a.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{k+1}(T) \leqslant\left\|\left.T\right|_{L_{i}}\right\| & =\sup _{\|\in\|_{2}=1} \frac{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} t_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{i, j}(\omega) y_{j}\right\|}{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} t_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{i, j}(\omega) x_{j}\right\|} \\
& \leqslant \frac{\sup _{\|t\|_{2}=1}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} t_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{i, j}(\omega) y_{j}\right\|}{\inf _{\|f\|_{2}=1}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} t_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{i, j}(\omega) x_{j}\right\|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We multiply by the denominator and integrate with respect to $\omega$. The lefthand side can then be estimated from below by (2.4) and the right-hand side

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{i, j}(\omega) e_{i} \otimes y_{j}\right\|_{L\left(l_{2}^{n-k}, Y\right)}
$$

from above by (2.1). This yields Proposition 2.2.
Proposition 2.3. Let $S \in L(X, Y)$ and $y_{i} \in S\left(B_{X}\right), i=1, \ldots, n$, be linearly independent. Moreover, consider $I \in L\left(Y, l_{2}^{n}\right)$ with $I\left(y_{i}\right)=e_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$. Then one has for $k<n$

$$
d_{k+1}(S) \geqslant \frac{n-\sqrt{k n}}{\sqrt{k n}\|I\|+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|I^{*}\left(e_{i}^{*}\right)\right\|} .
$$

Proof. Given $\varepsilon>0$, choose a subspace $L$ of $Y$ of dimension $\leqslant k$ and vectors $w_{i} \in L, i=1, \ldots, n$, in $S\left(B_{\chi}\right)$ such that

$$
(1+\varepsilon) d_{k+1}(S) \geqslant\left\|y_{i}-w_{i}\right\|
$$

for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1+\varepsilon) d_{k+1}(S) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|I^{*}\left(e_{1}^{*}\right)\right\| & \geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|y_{i}-w_{i}\right\|\left\|I^{*}\left(e_{i}^{*}\right)\right\| \\
& \geqslant n-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle w_{i}, I^{*}\left(e_{i}^{*}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =n-\operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} I\left(w_{i}\right) \otimes e_{i}^{*}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\operatorname{dim} L \leqslant k$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} I\left(w_{i}\right) \otimes e_{i}^{*}\right) & \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{k} s_{i}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} I\left(w_{i}\right) \otimes e_{i}^{*}\right) \\
& \leqslant \sqrt{k} \pi_{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} I\left(w_{i}\right) \otimes e_{i}^{*}\right) \\
& =\sqrt{k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|I\left(w_{i}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqslant \sqrt{k}\left\{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|I\left(w_{i}\right)-I\left(y_{i}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|e_{i}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\} \\
& \leqslant \sqrt{k}\left\{\|I\| \sqrt{n}(1+\varepsilon) d_{k+1}(S)+\sqrt{n}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we get

$$
(1+\varepsilon) d_{k+1}(S)\left\{\sqrt{k n}\|I\|+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|I^{*}\left(e_{i}^{*}\right)\right\|\right\} \geqslant n-\sqrt{k n} .
$$

Proposition 2.4. Assume that $\operatorname{dim}(X)=\operatorname{dim}(Y)=n$ and that $S \in$ $L(X, Y)$ is an invertible operator. Then we have for all $k<n$

$$
c_{k+1}(S) \geqslant \gamma_{2}\left(S^{-1}\right)^{-1} \inf \left\{d\left(E, l_{2}^{n-k}\right) \mid E \subseteq Y, \quad \operatorname{dim}(E)=n-k\right\}
$$

Proof. There exist $A \in L\left(Y, l_{2}^{n}\right), \quad B \in L\left(l_{2}^{n}, X\right)$ such that $\gamma_{2}\left(S^{-1}\right)=$ $\|A\|\|B\|$ and $S^{-1}=B A$. Let

$$
\alpha=\|A\|\left\{\inf \left\{d\left(E, l_{2}^{n-k}\right) \mid E \subseteq Y, \quad \operatorname{dim}(E)=n-k\right\}\right)^{\prime}
$$

There is a subspace $L$ of $X$ such that $c_{k+1}(S)=\left\|\left.S\right|_{L}\right\|$, where $\operatorname{dim}(L) \geqslant n-k$. We claim that there exists a vector $x_{0} \in L$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S x_{0}\right\|=1 \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|B^{-1} x_{0}\right\| \leqslant \alpha \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

since, otherwise, we have for all $x \neq 0, x \in L,\left\|B^{-1} x\right\|>\alpha\|S x\|$, therefore

$$
\left\|B \quad{ }^{1} x\right\|>\alpha\|S x\|=\alpha\left\|A \quad{ }^{1} B \quad{ }^{1} x\right\| \geqslant \alpha\|A\|^{-1}\left\|B \quad{ }^{1} x\right\|,
$$

which implies that $d\left(S(L), l_{2}^{n}{ }^{k}\right)<\|A\| \alpha^{\text {' }}$; but this contradicts the definition of $\alpha$. Using (2.5) we obtain

$$
c_{k+1}(S) \geqslant\left\|\left.S\right|_{L}\right\| \geqslant\left\|S x_{0}\right\| /\left\|x_{0}\right\| \geqslant(\alpha\|B\|)^{1}
$$

which ends the proof.
Remark. Let $s$ be the largest dimension of a 2 -isomorph of $l_{2}^{s}$ in the space $Y$. Then, by using Theorem 5.2 in [3] we get

$$
\sqrt{s} \geqslant c(n-k)^{1 / 2} / \inf \left\{d\left(E, l_{2}^{n-k}\right) \mid E \subset Y\right\}
$$

for some absolute constant $c>0$. Hence we obtain from Proposition 2.4

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k+1}(S) \geqslant c(n-k)^{1 / 2} s^{-1 / 2} \gamma_{2}\left(S^{-1}\right)^{-1} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Applications

In this section we apply the previous results to derive asymptotic estimates for the approximation numbers of the identity maps between general symmetric spaces as well as the spaces of operators $c_{p}^{n}$ and special tensor product spaces. The first results are direct corollaries of Section 2.

Corollary 3.1. Let $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \subset Y$ and $\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \subset Y$ be normalized 1-unconditional bases of $X$ and $Y$, respectively. Define id $X_{X, Y} \in L(X, Y)$ by $i d_{X, Y}\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$. Then we have for $k<n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{k+1}\left(i d_{X, Y}\right) \\
& \quad \leqslant \begin{cases}c ; & \text { if } 1 \leqslant k \leqslant \max \left\{\lambda_{X^{*}}^{2}(n), \lambda_{Y}^{2}(n)\right\} \\
c k^{-1,2} \max \left\{\lambda_{X^{*}}(n), \lambda_{Y}(n)\right\} ; & \text { if } \max \left\{\lambda_{X^{*}}^{2}(n), \lambda_{Y}^{2}(n)\right\} \leqslant k,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c=c\left(T_{2}\left(X^{*}\right), T_{2}(Y)\right)$ is a constant that depends only on the type 2-constants of $X^{*}$ and $Y$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.1 since

$$
\varepsilon_{2}\left(\left\{x_{i}^{*}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}\right)=\max _{\|\imath\|_{2}=1}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} x_{i}^{*}\right\|=\max _{\|t\|_{2}=1} \operatorname{Ave}_{ \pm}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \pm t_{i} x_{i}^{*}\right\| \leqslant \sqrt{\pi / 2} T_{2}\left(X^{*}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i} y_{i}\right\| \leqslant c\left(T_{2}(Y)\right) \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} y_{i}\right\|=c\left(T_{2}(Y)\right)\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}\right\|,
$$

and similar inequalities hold if we interchange $\left\{x_{i}^{*}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ and $\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$. Also we have to observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|i d_{X, Y}\right\| & \leqslant \max _{\|t\|_{2}=1}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} y_{i}\right\| / \min _{\|i f\|_{2}=1}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} x_{i}\right\| \\
& =\varepsilon_{2}\left(\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}\right) \varepsilon_{2}\left(\left\{x_{i}^{*}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}\right) \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2} T_{2}\left(X^{*}\right) T_{2}(Y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 3.2. Let $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ and $\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ be normalized 1 -symmetric bases of $X$ and $Y$. Let id $d_{X, Y} \in L(X, Y)$ be defined as above. Then we have

$$
d_{k+1}\left(i d_{X, Y}\right) \geqslant \begin{cases}c ; & \text { if } 1 \leqslant k \leqslant \lambda_{Y}^{2}(n) \\ c \lambda_{Y}(n) / \sqrt{k} ; & \text { if } \lambda_{Y}^{2}(n) \leqslant k \leqslant n / 2\end{cases}
$$

where $c=c\left(T_{2}(Y)\right)$ is a constant that depends only on the type 2-constants of $Y$.

Proof. We apply Proposition 2.3. Since $\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ is a 1 -symmetric basis,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|I^{*}\left(e_{i}^{*}\right)\right\|=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|y_{i}^{*}\right\|=n .
$$

Using the fact that a Banach lattice of type 2 is 2-convex and applying the argument in the proof of Proposition 3.7 [8] we find

$$
\|I\| \leqslant\left. C\left(T_{2}(Y)\right) \sqrt{n}\right|_{i=1} ^{n} y_{i}
$$

Thus we obtain

$$
d_{k+1}\left(i d_{X, Y}\right) \geqslant(n-\sqrt{k n}) /\left(C\left(T_{2}(Y)\right) n \sqrt{k}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}\right\|^{-1}+n\right)
$$

The previous two corollaries together yield
Corollary 3.3. Let $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ and $\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ be normalized 1 -symmetric bases of $X$ and $Y$. Let id $X_{X, Y} \in L(X, Y)$ map $x_{i}$ to $y_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$. Then we have for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n / 2$

$$
c^{-1} a_{k}\left(i d_{X, Y}\right) \leqslant \max \left\{d_{k}\left(i d_{X, Y}\right), c_{k}\left(i d_{X, Y}\right)\right\} \leqslant a_{k}\left(i d_{X, Y}\right),
$$

where $c=c\left(T_{2}\left(X^{*}\right), T_{2}(Y)\right)$ depends only on the type 2-constants of $X^{*}$ and $Y$.

Remark. For $X=l_{p}^{n}, Y=l_{q}^{n}, 1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2 \leqslant q \leqslant \infty$ and $k \leqslant n / 2$ we obtain the results of Gluskin [4] again. For some further applications in the case $k \geqslant n / 2$ we need Gluskin's method.

Definition. Let $X=\left(\mathbb{R}^{n},\|\cdot\|\right)$ be $n$-dimensional and $N \in \mathbb{N}, a>0$. We say that $X$ has $N$ a-essential extreme points iff there are elements $\dot{\lambda}_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{N} \in B_{l_{2}^{n}}$ with

$$
a B_{X} \subseteq \Gamma\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}\right) \subseteq B_{l_{2}^{\prime \prime}}
$$

where $\Gamma(A)$ denotes the convex hull of a given set $A$. Gluskin [4] used this concept to prove

Theorem 3.4. Let $\theta>0, a>0, n, N \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $X=\left(\mathbb{R}^{n},\|\cdot\|_{X}\right)$ and $\quad Y=\left(\mathbb{R}^{n},\left\|^{\prime}\right\|_{Y}\right)$ have $N$ a-essential extreme points with $N<\left(\frac{1}{16}\right) \exp \left(\theta^{2} n / 4\right)$. Then there is $c=c(a)$ depending only on a such that

$$
a_{k}\left(I d: X \rightarrow Y^{*}\right) \leqslant c\left(\theta \sqrt{(n-k) / k}+\theta^{2}(n / k)\right) .
$$

Gluskin applied this to $X=Y=l_{p}^{n}, 1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2$. We consider $X=Y=l_{n}^{n} \otimes_{\pi} l_{p}^{\prime \prime}$, which is the dual of $X^{*}=Y^{*}=l_{p^{\prime}}^{n} \otimes \otimes_{8} l_{p^{\prime}}^{\prime \prime}=L\left(l_{p}^{\prime \prime}, l_{p^{\prime}}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, where $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$.

Lemma 3.5. Let $1<p \leqslant 2$. Then there are $a_{p}, b_{p}>0$ such that $l_{p}^{\prime \prime} \otimes_{\pi} l_{p}^{n}$ has $N a_{p}$-essential extreme points for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$
N \leqslant \exp \left(b_{p} n^{2 / p^{\prime}}\right)
$$

Proof. By [4], $l_{p}^{n}$ has $N \leqslant \exp \left(\beta_{p} n^{2 / p^{\prime}}\right) \alpha_{p}$-essential extreme points for some $\alpha_{p}, \beta_{p}>0$ depending only on $p$. Denote them by $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}$. Then $\left\|\hat{\lambda}_{i} \otimes \lambda_{j}\right\|_{l_{2}^{n^{2}}} \leqslant 1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{p}^{2} B_{l_{p}^{n} \otimes \Theta_{p}^{n}} \subseteq \alpha_{p}^{2} \Gamma\left(x \otimes y \mid\|x\|_{r_{p}^{n}}=\|y\|_{r_{p}^{n}}=1\right) \\
& \subseteq \Gamma\left(\lambda_{i} \otimes \lambda_{j} \mid i, j=1, \ldots, N\right) \subseteq B_{l_{2}^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $N^{2} \leqslant \exp \left(2 \beta_{p} n^{2 / p^{\prime}}\right)$, this proves the lemma with $a_{p}=\alpha_{p}^{2}, b_{p}=2 \beta_{p}$.
Proposition 3.6. Let $1<p \leqslant 2$. Then there are constants $a_{p}, b_{p}>0$ such that $c_{p}^{n}$ has $N a_{p}$-essential extreme points for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$
N \leqslant \exp \left(b_{p} n^{2 / p^{\prime}+1}(\ln n)\right) .
$$

Proof. By Gluskin [4] there are $\alpha_{p}, \beta_{p}>0$ such that $l_{p}^{n}$ has $M$ $\alpha_{p}$-essential extreme points for $M \leqslant \exp \left(\beta_{p} n^{2 / p^{\prime}}\right)$. Thus there is $\Lambda:=\left\{\lambda^{1}, \ldots, \lambda^{M}\right\} \subseteq B_{l_{2}^{n}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{p} B_{l_{p}^{n}} \subseteq \Gamma\left(\lambda^{1}, \ldots, \lambda^{M}\right) \subseteq B_{l_{2}^{n}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the proof of Lemma 1 of [4] and the remarks before it show that the $\lambda^{i}$ can be chosen in such a way as to have support $S_{i}:=\left\{j \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \mid \lambda_{j}^{i} \neq 0\right\}$ of cardinality

$$
\left|S_{i}\right| \leqslant\left[n^{2 / p^{\prime}}\right]+1 .
$$

Let $\delta=n^{-2}$ and choose a $\delta$-net $\Delta$ in $B_{l_{2}^{n}}$ of cardinality

$$
L:=|\Delta| \leqslant(1+2 / \delta)^{n} \leqslant \exp (2 n \ln 2 n)
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Sigma:=\left\{T \in L\left(l_{2}^{n}, l_{2}^{n}\right) \mid T=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \hat{\lambda}_{k} x_{k} \otimes y_{k} \quad \text { with } \quad \lambda=\left(\lambda_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{n} \in A,\right. \\
\left.x_{k}, y_{k} \in A \quad \text { and } \quad c_{2}(T) \leqslant 2\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since for each $i,\left|S_{i}\right| \leqslant\left[n^{2 / p^{\prime}}\right]+1$, the number of different elements in $\Sigma$ can be estimated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Sigma| & \leqslant|\Delta|^{2\left(\left[n^{2 / p^{\prime}}\right]+1\right)}|\Lambda| \\
& \leqslant \exp \left(4 n(\ln 2 n)\left(n^{2 / p^{\prime}}+1\right)+\beta_{p} n^{2 / p^{\prime}}\right) \leqslant \exp \left(b_{p} n^{2 / p^{\prime}+1} \ln n\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $b_{p}>0$. We claim that for $n \geqslant 4 \alpha_{p}^{-1}$

$$
\left(2 \alpha_{p}\right)^{-1} B_{c_{p}^{n}} \subseteq \Gamma(T \mid T \in \Sigma) \subseteq 2 B_{c_{2}^{n}}=2 B_{l_{2}^{n^{2}}}
$$

which would prove the proposition. The right inclusion follows from the definition of $\Sigma$. To prove the left inclusion, let $T \in c_{p}^{n}$ with $c_{p}(T)=1$. Then there are $\lambda \in l_{p}^{n},\|\lambda\|_{p}=1$, and orthonormal systems $\left(u_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{n},\left(v_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{n} \subset l_{2}^{n}$ with

$$
T=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k} u_{k} \otimes v_{k}
$$

Choose $x_{k}, y_{k} \in \Delta$ with $\left\|u_{k}-x_{k}\right\|_{t_{2}^{n}} \leqslant n^{-2},\left\|v_{k}-y_{k}\right\|_{t_{2}^{n}} \leqslant n^{-2}$. Further, by (3.1), there are $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{M} \subseteq R^{+}$with $\sum_{i=1}^{M} a_{i} \leqslant \alpha_{p}^{-1}$ such that $\lambda=\sum_{i=1}^{M} a_{i} \lambda^{i}$. Let

$$
T_{i}:=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}^{i} u_{k} \otimes v_{k}, \quad S_{i}:=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}^{i} x_{k} \otimes y_{k}
$$

Then $T=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i} T_{i}$ and elementary estimates show

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{2}\left(T_{i}-S_{i}\right) & \leqslant c_{p}\left(T_{i}-S_{i}\right) \leqslant 2 n^{1}, \\
c_{2}\left(S_{i}\right) & \leqslant c_{2}\left(T_{i}\right)+c_{2}\left(T_{i}-S_{i}\right) \leqslant\left\|\lambda^{i}\right\|_{2}+2 / n \leqslant 2 \quad(n \geqslant 2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $S_{i} \in \Sigma$ and $S:=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i} S_{i} \in \alpha_{p}^{-1} \Gamma(\Sigma)$ provides an approximation of $T$ with

$$
c_{p}(T-S) \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i} c_{p}\left(T_{i}-S_{i}\right) \leqslant 2 \alpha_{p}^{-1} / n \leqslant \frac{1}{2}
$$

for $n \geqslant 4 \alpha_{p}^{-1}$. Now let $T^{1}:=T-S$ and repeat the argument with $T^{1}$ instead of $T$. This yields $S^{1} \in \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{p}^{-1} \Gamma(\Sigma)$ with

$$
c_{p}\left(T^{1}-S^{1}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{4} .
$$

Continuing this with $T^{2}:=T^{1}-S^{1}$, we find $S^{\prime} \in\left(1 / 2^{\prime}\right) \alpha_{p}^{-1} \Gamma(\Sigma)$ with

$$
c_{p}\left(T^{\prime}-S^{l}\right) \leqslant 1 / 2^{l+1}, \quad T^{l+1}:=T^{\prime}-S^{l} .
$$

This gives with $S^{0}:=S$

$$
T=S^{0}+T^{1}=S^{0}+S^{1}+T_{2}=\cdots=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} S^{\prime} \in 2 \alpha_{p}^{-1} \Gamma(\Sigma)
$$

which is what we claimed.
Proposition 3.7. Let $1<p<2$. Then with constants independent of $1 \leqslant k<n^{2}$, but depending on $p$,

$$
a_{k}\left(I d: c_{p}^{n} \rightarrow c_{p^{\prime}}^{n}\right) \sim \begin{cases}1 ; & \text { if } 1 \leqslant k \leqslant\left[n^{3-2 / p}\right] \\ n^{1 / p^{\prime}+1 / 2} / \sqrt{k} ; & \text { if }\left[n^{3 \cdots 2 / p}\right]<k \leqslant n^{2} / 2 .\end{cases}
$$

For $k>n^{2} / 2$ one has at least: If $k \leqslant n^{2}-b_{p} n^{3-2 / p}(\ln n)$ then $d_{p}^{-1}$ $\sqrt{n^{2}-k} n^{-(1 / 2)-1 / p} \leqslant a_{k}\left(I d: \quad c_{p}^{n} \rightarrow c_{p^{\prime}}^{n}\right) \leqslant d_{p} \sqrt{n^{2}-k} n^{-(1 / 2)-1 / p} \sqrt{\ln n}, \quad$ and if $n^{2}-b_{p} n^{3-2 / p}(\ln n) \leqslant k<n^{2}$ then $\max \left\{n^{1-2 / p}, d_{p}^{-1} \sqrt{n^{2}-k} n^{-(1 / 2)-1 / p}\right\} \leqslant$ $a_{k}\left(I d: c_{p}^{n} \rightarrow c_{p}^{n}\right) \leqslant d_{p} n^{1-2 / p}(\ln n)$.

Proof. If $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n^{2} / 2$, the upper estimate follows from the obvious fact $a_{k} \leqslant 1$ in the case $k \leqslant\left[n^{3-2 / p}\right]$, and when $\left[n^{3-2 / p}\right]<k<n^{2} / 2$ from Theorem 2.1, where we use the estimate $d\left(c_{p^{\prime}}^{n}, c_{\infty}^{n}\right) \leqslant n^{1 / p^{\prime}}$ and inequality (2.1) to obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} g_{i, j} e_{i} \otimes e_{j}\right\|_{c_{p}^{n}} \leqslant n^{1 / p^{\prime}} \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} g_{i, j} e_{i} \otimes e_{j}\right\|_{c_{x}^{n}} \leqslant d_{p} n^{1 / p^{\prime}+1 / 2} .
$$

If $n^{2} / 2<k<n$, the upper estimates are derived from Gluskin's Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 3.6. The lower estimates are a consequence of Proposition 2.3 in the case $k \leqslant n^{2} / 2$, and of Proposition 2.4 for $k>n^{2} / 2$. To apply Proposition 2.4 one has to know that largest 2-Hilbertian subspace in $c_{p^{\prime}}^{n}$ has dimension of the order $n^{3-2 / p}$ (see Example 3.3 [3]). For $k>n^{2} / 2$ we also use the estimate $a_{k}(I d) \geqslant\left\|I d^{-1}\right\|^{-1}=n^{1-2 / p}$.

Remark. Probably the logarithmic terms for $k>n^{2} / 2$ are not necessary. The approximation numbers $a_{k}\left(I d: c_{p}^{n} \rightarrow c_{q}^{n}\right)$ for $1<p \leqslant 2 \leqslant q<\infty$ can be derived in a similar way, as in the case of $l_{p}^{n}$ the case $p^{\prime}=q$ is the essential one.

When $p=1$ we have
Proposition 3.8. For $1 \leqslant k<n^{2}$,

$$
a_{k}\left(I d: c_{1}^{n} \rightarrow c_{\infty}^{n}\right) \sim \begin{cases}1 ; & \text { if } 1 \leqslant k \leqslant n \\ \sqrt{n / k} ; & \text { if } n \leqslant k<n^{2} / 2 \\ \sqrt{n^{2}-k} n^{-3 / 2} ; & \text { if } n^{2} / 2 \leqslant k<n^{2}-n \\ n^{-1} ; & \text { if } n^{2}-n<k<n^{2} .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We apply the same proof of Proposition 3.7 for $p=1$, but with $\ln n$ replaced everywhere by 1 because we know that $c_{1}^{n}=l_{2}^{n} \bigotimes_{\pi} l_{2}^{n}$ has $N=\exp (b n) a_{1}$-essential extreme points by Lemma 3.5.

Proposition 3.9. Let $1<p \leqslant 2$. Then with constants independent of $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, but depending on $p$,

$$
a_{k}\left(I d: l_{p}^{n} \otimes_{\pi} l_{p}^{n} \rightarrow l_{p^{\prime}}^{n} \otimes_{\varepsilon} l_{p^{\prime}}^{n}\right) \sim \begin{cases}1 & 1 \leqslant k \leqslant\left[n^{2 / p^{\prime}}\right] \\ n^{1 / p^{\prime}} / \sqrt{k} & {\left[n^{2 / p^{\prime}}\right]<k \leqslant n^{2} / 2} \\ n^{-2 / p} & n^{2}-\left[n^{2 / p^{\prime}}\right] \leqslant k<n^{2}\end{cases}
$$

For $n^{2} / 2<k<n^{2}-\left[n^{2 / p^{\prime}}\right]$ one has

$$
a_{k}\left(I d: l_{p}^{n} \otimes_{\pi} l_{p}^{n} \rightarrow l_{p^{\prime}}^{n} \otimes_{\varepsilon} l_{p^{\prime}}^{n}\right) \leqslant d_{p} \sqrt{n^{2}-k} / n^{1+1 / p}
$$

Proof. The upper estimate for $k \leqslant n^{2} / 2$ again follows from Theorem 2.1 and

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\|_{i, j=1}^{n} g_{i, j} e_{i} \otimes e_{j}\right\|_{l_{p^{\prime}}^{n} \otimes, l_{p}^{n}} \leqslant d_{p} n^{1 / p^{\prime}},
$$

which holds by Chevet's inequality (2.1). The upper estimate for $k>n^{2} / 2$ again follows from Theorem 3.4 using Lemma 3.5. For the lower estimate in the case $k \leqslant n^{2} / 2$ we again use Proposition 2.3. To do so, one has to estimate

$$
\left\|I d: l_{p^{\prime}}^{n} \otimes_{\varepsilon} l_{p^{\prime}}^{n} \rightarrow l_{2}^{n^{2}}\right\|
$$

from above by $n^{1 / p}$. This is seen as follows: For any $T \in L\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T\|_{l_{2}^{n^{2}}}= & \pi_{2}\left(T: l_{2}^{n} \rightarrow l_{2}^{n}\right) \leqslant \\
& \left\|I d: l_{2}^{n} \rightarrow l_{p}^{n}\right\|\left\|T: l_{p}^{n} \rightarrow l_{p^{\prime}}^{n}\right\| \\
\leqslant & \times \pi_{2}\left(I d: l_{p^{\prime}}^{n} \rightarrow l_{2}^{n}\right) \\
\leqslant & n^{-(1 / 2)+1 / p}\|T\|_{l_{p}^{n}} \otimes_{\varepsilon} l_{p^{n}}^{n} \times n^{1 / 2}=n^{1 / p}\|T\|_{l_{p^{\prime}}^{n} \otimes} \otimes l_{p}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. For $n^{2} / 2<k<n^{2}-\left[n^{2 / p^{\prime}}\right]$, the given upper estimate is probably asymptotically optimal. This would follow from Proposition 2.4 if the largest dimension of a $\leqslant 2$ Hilbertian subspace of $l_{p^{\prime}}^{n} \otimes{ }_{\varepsilon} l_{p^{\prime}}^{n}$ could be shown to be of order $n^{2 / p^{\prime}}$ (as in the case of $l_{p^{\prime}}^{n^{\prime}}$, cf. [3]), which is true in the case of $p=2$ at least.

Note added in proof. After finishing this paper, the following result concerning the duality problem for entropy numbers was proved by H. König and V. Milman (On the covering number of convex bodies, to appear): For any $i>0$ there is $d=d(i)>1$ such that for any finite rank operator $v: X \rightarrow Y$ between Banach spaces and all $j>i$. rank $(v)$ one has

$$
e_{[d i]}(v) \leqslant 2 e_{i}\left(v^{*}\right), \quad e_{[d]}\left(v^{*}\right) \leqslant 2 e_{l}(v) .
$$

Moreover, $d(i) \rightarrow 1$ for $i \rightarrow x$.
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